EPILEPSY CURRENTS

Current Review
In Basic Science

Is It Safe to Use a Diuretic to Treat Seizures Early in Development ?

Y. Ben-Ari, PhD, EsSc and R. Tyzio
INMED, INSERM- U901, Marseilles, France
Address correspondence to Y. Ben-Ari, INMED, INSERM- U901, Marseilles, France. E-mail: ben-ari@inmed.univ-mrs.fr

There has been considerable interest in using bumetanide, a diuretic chloride importer NKCC1 antagonist, to reduce intracellular chloride ($[Cl^-]_i$) in epileptic neurons, thereby shifting the polarity of GABA from excitatory to inhibitory and ameliorating the actions of GABA-acting antiepileptic drugs. However, a recent study raises the important issue of potential deleterious actions of bumetanide on immature neurons, because reduction of $(Cl^-)_i$ also alleviates a major source of excitation in developing neurons, upon which GABA exerts a trophic action. This review considers the importance of separating intrauterine from postnatal effects of bumetanide in normal versus pathologic neurons.

Immature neurons have higher intracellular concentrations of chloride ($[Cl^-]_i$) than adult neurons, leading to depolarizing and excitatory actions of GABA, which exert trophic actions on the formation of cortical networks (1). These actions are mediated by many mechanisms, most notably an early expression of the chloride importer NKCC1and a somewhat delayed expression of the chloride exporter KCC2 (2–9). Blocking NKCC1 with the diuretic NKCC1 antagonist bumetanide reduces $(Cl^-)_i$ and the depolarizing actions of GABA (1). Immature neurons need excitatory GABA during development, because GABA exerts a clear trophic action on many major developmental processes, including migration; growth; synapse formation; and early network activities, notably giant depolarizing potentials that provide much of early synapse-driven activity (1, 10–13). However, recurrent seizures and epilepsies that also have a higher incidence in immature neurons also shift GABA polarity to excitation as $(Cl^-)_i$ is elevated in human and animal epileptic neurons (9, 14, 15). Therefore, immature neurons require "GABA to be excited but also to be hyperexcited" (16). These observations raise considerable interest in using agents that reduce $(Cl^-)_i$ to reinstate hyperpolarizing and inhibitory GABA in epileptic neurons, thereby also enabling the use of GABA-acting AEDs that often exert paradoxical aggravating actions on these neurons (9, 14, 17). In addition to epilepsies, elevated neuronal $(Cl^-)_i$ has been documented in autism spectrum disorders (ASDs), brain edema, spinal cord lesions, spasticity, and other disorders. Two clinical trials are presently being conducted—the CRA/INMED trial (18) on the use of bumetanide to treat children with ASD the NEMO project of the European Community (19) and the US trial gov/ct2/show/NCT00830531on the use of bumetanide to treat phenobarbital-refractory encephalopathy in 2-day-old babies.

Clearly, the excitatory actions of GABA have both positive and deleterious actions in physiologic and pathologic conditions, challenging its usefulness in the neonatal period. A recent elegant study reports that long-lasting administration of bumetanide at early developmental stages leads to cortical malformations and behavioral sequelae that are reminiscent of autism and schizophrenia (20). The authors suggest that the use of bumetanide may have teratogenic actions on the developing brain, challenging the promising therapeutic efficacy of this drug. Here, I discuss two issues: 1) the precise period during which long-term diuretic use is potentially deleterious, and 2) the major differences in GABA actions on normal versus pathologic neurons and the need to differentiate excitation (of normal neurons) and hyperexcitation (of pathologic neurons).

The Dangers of Diuretics During Pregnancy

Wang and Kriegstein (20) report a highly significant increase in glutamatergic EPSCs when bumetanide was continuously injected between E15 to E17 to P7 (Wang and Kriegstein, 2010). However, curiously, the diuretic had no effect when administered entirely during the prenatal (E15 to E19) or postnatal (P0 to P7 or P7 to P14) periods although GABA depolarizes neurons in utero (1Ben-Ari et al., 2007) and alters neuronal migration (21–23). Also, GABA depolarizes neurons during the first postnatal week in the hippocampus, the neocortex, and many other structures (1, 4, 7, 8, 11, 12, 24, 25). These different reported actions are due either to longer time periods (a week vs 11–13 days) or to the inclusion in the deleterious experiment of delivery; administration of bumetanide entirely before or after delivery appears not to have deleterious actions. Delivery is associated with a dramatic shift of the actions of GABA mediated by the hormone oxytocin, which also triggers labor (8). Oxytocin-mediated GABA exerts dramatic neuroprotective

Epilepsy Currents, Vol. 11, No. 6 (November/December) 2011 pp. 192–195
© American Epilepsy Society

OPEN ACCESS Freely available online

and analgesic actions (8, 26). It is conceivable that bumetanide reduces oxytocin release and ameliorates its actions, thereby impacting critical events that occur during delivery. Although the exact correspondence between human and rodents can be debated, there is little doubt that the vulnerable period reported in rodents (20) corresponds to the preterm period in humans and that the long-term use of bumetanide-like drugs duringgestation can have adverse actions on the developing brain. There have been no clinical trials aimed at determining the usefulness of bumetanide during pregnancy. If such a trial ever occurs, care will need to be exercised regarding the safety of this agent, especially during the second trimester of gestation.

Is Bumetanide Teratogenic on Normal Neurons in Utero?

In the report of Wang and Kriegstein (20), an extensive loss of dendrites, dendritic spines, glutamatergic synapses, and EPSCs after in utero bumetanide was accompanied by interesting behavioral consequences. Most motor signs were either completely unaffected or exhibited a slight (i.e., 1-day) delay, which is barely significant and transient; such effects are not observed in adults. Only the startle response was significantly augmented, raising the possibility of altered maturation of hearing or sensory processes. Yet, the authors suggested that bumetanide reproduces an animal model of autism and schizophrenia, although the primary features of ASD—repetitive stereotyped movements and lack of social interaction (27–29) —were unaltered.

Epidemiologic studies provide interesting information about the use of diuretics during pregnancy. Diuretics are only prescribed when there is a clinical need, so the concern should be focused on their effects in pathologic situations. The most frequent use of diuretics during pregnancy is to treat hypertension, which can have severe teratogenic consequences. The EuroMap group has analyzed the effects of diuretics in large cohorts (9,125 individuals),on measures of fetal growth (30). They reported that diuretics during pregnancy are associated with larger birth weight and a higher incidence of preterm delivery (30). Children of mothers with hypertension during pregnancy who were also exposed to diuretic treatment in the third trimester were at significantly enhanced risk of developing schizophrenia (31). However, neither hypertension alone nor diuretic treatment alone for other reasons than hypertension increased the likelihood of developing schizophrenia. Diuretics may be teratogenic, but one must also take into account the disease for which the treatment is prescribed as the diuretics are not used during pregnancy unless there is a medical need. Therefore, the effects of diuretics on naïve brains are not relevant in a clinical perspective. A similar situation exists for epilepsies, in which several AEDs have teratogenic actions on neuronal migration, yet seizures during pregnancy also have many potentially dangerous sequelae; these benefit/risk considerations must be carefully weighed in the clinical setting.

Experimental Issues on Chloride CoTransporters

Wang and Kriegstein (20) conclude that blockade of NKCC1 and GABA-mediated excitation by bumetanide leads to a chain of reactions that culminates in brain malformations and adverse neurologic sequelae. However, several issues must be considered in this context.

First, because the serum or brain concentration of bumetanide has not been measured, it is difficult to determine whether its actions are direct and specific. At higher concentrations, bumetanide also blocks the chloride exporter KCC2, which also alters migration and developmental processes (6, 21, 32, 33), and exerts an important neuroprotective role (34). Compensatory alterations of KCC2 after bumetanide are likely a result of cross talk between these cotransporters (35, 36). In addition, bumetanide is metabolised within a few hours raising some concerns on the interpretation of single injections (36,B). In the clinical autism trial, we have used long lasting (3 months) bumetanide administration at a dose of 1 mg daily in children weighing approximately 20 kg. 0.1 mg/kg intravenously will be used to stop seizures in neonatal encephalopathy (19). Therefore, the effects of long-lasting administration of bumetanide may not necessarily be due only to NKCC1 blockade. This is particularly relevant in utero when the blood brain barrier is underdeveloped and the mother's serum levels will predominate in the embryos.

Second, in contrast to bumetanide, genetic invalidation of NKCC1 exerts contradictory effects on glutamatergic currents and brain patterns as either an acceleration or a delay of their maturation (37, 38). Wang and Kriegstein (20) argue correctly that these differences may be due to compensatory mechanisms that occur in knockouts. However, similar compensatory mechanisms may occur when NKCC1 is blocked for long periods by bumetanide. Indeed, because bumetanide is metabolized within a few hours (39), transient shifts of GABA polarity with stop-and-go type of signals may complicate the interpretation of the results. Because the chloride gradient and the polarity of GABA actions E_{GABA} was determined solely at P0 but not later, when the behavioral effects were measured, it is unknown whether the GABA shift is permanent (20). Also, the use of hydrochlorothiazide at high concentrations to control for the possible deleterious actions of diuresis is problematic, because this agent is an efficient inhibitor of AMPA/kainate receptor desensitization (40) and would be expected to alter immature brain patterns.

Third, during delivery, the hormone oxytocin that triggers labor also produces a dramatic loss of $(Cl^-)_i$ and a hyperpolarizing shift of GABA actions (8) that occludes the actions of bumetanide and exerts neuroprotective and analgesic effects (8, 26, 34). The failure of Wang and Kriegstein (20) to observe this shift may be due to the invasive recordings (11) and/or the use of high concentrations of potassium (ie, 5 mM) in the artificial cerebrospinal fluid. Additional factors include the exquisite heterogeneity of transmitter-gated and voltage-gated currents in immature neurons that determine the actions of bumetanide. Extracellular recording techniques are required to better unravel the net actions of GABA.

Bumetanide in Pathologic Conditions

The fundamental assumption of Wang and Kriegstein (20) is that bumetanide exerts similar adverse effects in normal and pathological conditions and that the effects they report hamper the use of the diuretic in both conditions. However, neurons have different features in neurologic disorders, sug-

gesting that what applies to normal neurons may not apply to pathologic ones. Several factors underlie the excitatory actions of GABA on normal neurons. The early operation of the chloride importer NKCC1 and delayed operation of the chloride exporter KCC2 is one of these factors. In addition, the slow decay kinetic of GABA currents activates a slow sodium voltage-dependent current (I_{NaP}) that enables the voltage shift to reach spike threshold, and this is not attained by the chloride gradient solely (41). Additional factors include the large input resistance of immature neurons and their intrinsic tendency to oscillate, which are not genuine features of epileptic neurons or neurons in other pathologic disorders. The developmental gradient is yet another important consideration; even in utero, some neurons already have a hyperpolarizing GABA response, particularly in humans, who have prolonged gestation and heterogeneity of cortical neurons that are born during the second trimester, which preclude a homogeneous widespread general excitatory GABA action. This heterogeneity is illustrated by the different actions of GABA on rodent immature neocortical neurons; GABA excites pyramidal neurons of the earlier-operative deep cortical layers but only depolarizes superficial layer pyramidal neurons (24), stressing the dynamic role of GABA in seizure propagation (42).

These differences are also evidenced by investigations on the excitatory actions of GABA in experimental models of epilepsies and in human epileptic neurons (43, 44). Bumetanide reduces the enhanced $(Cl^-)_i$ in slices with a variable efficacy that depends on the convulsive agent used (9, 45, 46In the triple chamber, in which seizures generated in one hippocampus propagate to the other hippocampus and form an epileptogenic mirror focus (44), epileptic neurons accumulate chloride primarily because they fail to export it because of down regulation and internalization of KCC2 (47). NKCC1 contributes but is neither necessary nor sufficient to produce the GABA polarity shift, as it is also observed in NKCC1 knockouts (14).

In experimental models, bumetanide has shown promising therapeutic effects in pain, (48), spasticity after spinal cord lesions (49), and brain edema (50, 51). All these effects have been shown in acute protocols, in which the agents are administered during short time periods. Several clinical trials are presently being conducted. Relying on the paradoxical effects of GABA-acting agents in ASD and the alterations of benzodiazepine receptors (52), we have recently obtained very promising effects of bumetanide in the treatment of ASD. Bumetanide, given over 3 months at 1 mg daily to 3- to 11-year-old children with ASD, reduced the severity of the syndrome, with few adverse effects related to the diuresis, and these adverse effects could be readily compensated (18). A large, double-blind trial of bumetanide in ASD is being performed now.

In conclusion, there is an excellent theoretical case for additional testing of bumetanide in autism, epilepsy, and probably other neurodevelopmental disorders. The study of Wang and Kriegstein (20) is a good reminder that things are never simple but that there are ways to take into account the possible adverse actions of a therapy and exploit its dramatic beneficial effects.

References

1. Ben-Ari Y, Gaiarsa JL, Tyzio R, Khazipov R. GABA: A pioneer transmitter that excites immature neurons and generates primitive oscillations. *Physiol Rev* 2007;87:1215–1284.
2. Delpire E. Cation-chloride cotransporters in neuronal communication. *News Physiol Sci* 2000;15:309–312.
3. Pieraut S, Laurent-Matha V, Sar C, Hubert T, Mechaly I, Hilaire C, Mersel M, Delpire E, Valmier J, Scamps F. NKCC1 phosphorylation stimulates neurite growth of injured adult sensory neurons. *J Neurosci* 2007;27:6751–6759.
4. Rivera C, Voipio J, Payne JA, Ruusuvuori E, Lahtinen H, Lamsa K, Pirvola U, Saarma M, Kaila K. The K+/Cl- co-transporter KCC2 renders GABA hyperpolarizing during neuronal maturation. *Nature* 1999;397:251–255.
5. Payne JA, Rivera C, Voipio J, Kaila K. Cation-chloride co-transporters in neuronal communication, development and trauma. *Trends Neurosci* 2003;26:199–206.
6. Chudotvorova I, Ivanov A, Rama S, Hubner CA, Pellegrino C, Ben Ari Y, Medina I. Early expression of KCC2 in rat hippocampal cultures augments expression of functional GABA synapses. *J Physiol* 2005;566:671–679.
7. Tyzio R, Allene C, Nardou R, Picardo M, Yamamoto S, Sivakumaran S, Caiati MD, Rheims S, Minlebaev M, Milh M, Ferre P, Khazipov R, Romette J-L, Lorquin J, Cossart R, Khalilov I, Nehlig A, Cherubini E, Ben-Ari Y. Depolarizing actions of GABA in immature neurons depend neither on ketone bodies nor on pyruvate. Journal of Neurosceience 2011;31:34–45.
8. Tyzio R, Cossart R, Khalilov I, Minlebaev M, Hubner CA, Represa A, Ben-Ari Y, Khazipov R. Maternal oxytocin triggers a transient inhibitory switch in GABA signaling in the fetal brain during delivery. *Science* 2006;314:1788–1792.
9. Dzhala VI, Talos DM, Sdrulla DA, Brumback AC, Mathews GC, Benke TA, Delpire E, Jensen FE, Staley KJ. NKCC1 transporter facilitates seizures in the developing brain. *Nat Med* 2005;11:1205–1213.
10. Khazipov R, Esclapez M, Caillard O, Bernard C, Khalilov I, Tyzio R, Hirsch J, Dzhala V, Berger B, Ben-Ari Y. Early development of neuronal activity in the primate hippocampus in utero. *J Neurosci* 2001;21:9770–9781.
11. Tyzio R, Ivanov A, Bernard C, Holmes GL, Ben Ari Y, Khazipov R. Membrane potential of CA3 hippocampal pyramidal cells during postnatal development. *J Neurophysiol* 2003;90:2964–2972.
12. Blaesse P, Airaksinen MS, Rivera C, Kaila K. Cation-chloride cotransporters and neuronal function. *Neuron* 2009;61:820–838.
13. Ben-Ari Y. Excitatory actions of GABA during development: the nature of the nurture. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2002;3:728–739.
14. Nardou R, Yamamoto S, Chazal G, Bhar A, Ferrand N, Dulac O, Ben-Ari Y, Khalilov I. Neuronal chloride accumulation and excitatory GABA underlie aggravation of neonatal epileptiform activities by phenobarbital. *Brain* 2011;134:987–1002.
15. Huberfeld G, Wittner L, Clemenceau S, Baulac M, Kaila K, Miles R, Rivera C. Perturbed chloride homeostasis and GABAergic signaling in human temporal lobe epilepsy. *J Neurosci* 2007;27:9866–9873.
16. Cherubini E, Ben-Ari Y. The immature brain needs GABA to be excited and hyper-excited. *J Physiol (Lond)* 2011;589:2655–2656.
17. Cohen I, Navarro V, Clemenceau S, Baulac M, Miles R. On the origin of interictal activity in human temporal lobe epilepsy in vitro. *Science* 2002;298:1418–1421.
18. Lemonnier E, Ben-Ari Y. The diuretic bumetanide decreases autistic behaviour in five infants treated during 3 months with no side effects. *Acta Paediatr* 2010;99:1885–1888.

19. NEMO Project and Trial: http://www.nemo-europe.com/
20. Wang DD, Kriegstein AR. Blocking early GABA depolarization with bumetanide results in permanent alterations in cortical circuits and sensorimotor gating deficits. *Cereb Cortex* 2010;2010;21:574–587.
21. Bortone D, Polleux F. KCC2 expression promotes the termination of cortical interneuron migration in a voltage-sensitive calcium-dependent manner. *Neuron* 2009;62:53–71.
22. Manent JB, Demarque M, Jorquera I, Pellegrino C, Ben Ari Y, Aniksztejn L, Represa A. A noncanonical release of GABA and glutamate modulates neuronal migration. *J Neurosci* 2005;25:4755–4765.
23. Owens DF, Kriegstein AR. Is there more to GABA than synaptic inhibition? *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2002;3:715–727.
24. Rheims S, Minlebaev M, Ivanov A, Represa A, Khazipov R, Holmes GL, Ben-Ari Y, Zilberter Y. Excitatory GABA in rodent developing neocortex in vitro. *J Neurophysiol* 2008;100:609–619.
25. Rivera C, Voipio J, Kaila K. Two developmental switches in GABAergic signalling: The K^+-Cl^- cotransporter KCC2 and carbonic anhydrase CAVII. *J Physiol* 2005;562:27–36.
26. Mazzuca M, Minlebaev M, Shakirzyanova A, Tyzio R, Taccola G, Janackova S, Gataullina S, Ben-Ari Y, Giniatullin R, Khazipov R. Newborn Analgesia Mediated by Oxytocin during Delivery. Front Cell Neurosci. 2011 Apr 12;5:3.
27. Crawley JN. Mouse behavioral assays relevant to the symptoms of autism. *Brain Pathol* 2007;17:448–459.
28. Dhossche DM. GABA in Autism. Amsterdam: Academic Press, 2005.
29. Lelord G, Muh JP, Sauvage D, Herault J. Neurobiology of childhood autistic syndromes. *Medecine et sciences* 96;12:715–722.
30. Olesen C, de Vries CS, Thrane N, MacDonald TM, Larsen H, Sorensen HT. Effect of diuretics on fetal growth: A drug effect or confounding by indication? Pooled Danish and Scottish cohort data. *Brit J Clin Pharmacol* 2001;51:153–157.
31. Sorensen HJ, Mortensen EL, Reinisch JM, Mednick SA. Do hypertension and diuretic treatment in pregnancy increase the risk of schizophrenia in offspring? *Am J Psychiatr* 2003;160:464–468.
32. Manent JB, Jorquera I, Ben Ari Y, Aniksztejn L, Represa A. Glutamate acting on AMPA but not NMDA receptors modulates the migration of hippocampal interneurons. *J Neurosci* 2006;26:5901–5909.
33. Li H, Khirug S, Cai C, Ludwig A, Blaesse P, Kolikova J, Afzalov R, Coleman SK, Lauri S, Airaksinen MS, Keinanen K, Khiroug L, Saarma M, Kaila K, Rivera C. KCC2 interacts with the dendritic cytoskeleton to promote spine development. *Neuron* 2007;56:1019–1033.
34. Pellegrino C, Gubkina O, Schaefer M, Becq H, Ludwig A, Mukhtarov M, Chudotvorova I, Corby S, Salyha Y, Salozhin S, Bregestovski P, Medina I. Knocking down of the KCC2 in rat hippocampal neurons increases intracellular chloride concentration and compromises neuronal survival. *J Physiol (Lond)* 2011;589:2475–2496.
35. Kahle KT, Rinehart J, Ring A, Gimenez I, Gamba G, Hebert SC, Lifton RP. WNK protein kinases modulate cellular Cl- flux by altering the phosphorylation state of the Na-K-Cl and K-Cl cotransporters. *Physiology (Bethesda)* 2006;21:326–335.
36. Kahle KT, Rinehart J, Lifton RP (2010) Phosphoregulation of the Na-K-2Cl and K-Cl cotransporters by the WNK kinases. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2010;1802:1150–1158.

36B. Brandt C, Nozadze M, Heuchert N, Rattka M, Löscher W. Disease-modifying effects of phenobarbital and the NKCC1 inhibitor bumetanide in the pilocarpine model of temporal lobe epilepsy. J Neurosci. 2010 Jun 23;30(25):8602-12.).

37. Pfeffer CK, Stein V, Keating DJ, Maier H, Rinke I, Rudhard Y, Hentschke M, Rune GM, Jentsch TJ, Hubner CA. NKCC1-dependent GABAergic excitation drives synaptic network maturation during early hippocampal development. *J Neurosci* 2009;29:3419–3430.
38. Sipila ST, Huttu K, Yamada J, Afzalov R, Voipio J, Blaesse P, Kaila K. Compensatory enhancement of intrinsic spiking upon NKCC1 disruption in neonatal hippocampus. *J Neurosci* 2009;29:6982–6988.
39. Sullivan JE, Witte MK, Yamashita TS, Myers CM, Blumer JL. Pharmacokinetics of bumetanide in critically ill infants. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 1996;60:405–413.
40. Zorumski CF, Yamada KA, Price MT, Olney JW. A benzodiazepine recognition site associated with the non-NMDA glutamate receptor. *Neuron* 1993;10:61–67.
41. Valeeva G, Abdullin A, Tyzio R, Skorinkin A, Nikolski E, Ben-Ari Y, Khazipov R. Temporal coding at the immature depolarizing GABAergic synapse. *Front Cell Neurosci* 2010;4:17:1-12.
42. Rheims S, Represa A, Ben-Ari Y, Zilberter Y. Layer-specific generation and propagation of seizures in slices of developing neocortex: role of excitatory GABAergic synapses. *J Neurophysiol* 2010;4:17:1-12.
43. Khalilov I, Le Van QM, Gozlan H, Ben Ari Y. Epileptogenic actions of GABA and fast oscillations in the developing hippocampus. *Neuron* 2005;48:787–796.
44. Khalilov I, Holmes GL, Ben Ari Y. In vitro formation of a secondary epileptogenic mirror focus by interhippocampal propagation of seizures. *Nat Neurosci* 2003;6:1079–1085.
45. Kilb W, Sinning A, Luhmann HJ. Model-specific effects of bumetanide on epileptiform activity in the in-vitro intact hippocampus of the newborn mouse. *Neuropharmacology* 2007;53:524–533.
46. Nardou R, Ben-Ari Y, Khalilov I. Bumetanide, an NKCC1 antagonist, does not prevent formation of epileptogenic focus but blocks epileptic focus seizures in immature rat hippocampus. *J Neurophysiol* 2009;101:2878–2888.
47. Dzhala VI, Kuchibhotla KV, Glykys JC, Kahle KT, Swiercz WB, Feng G, Kuner T, Augustine GJ, Bacskai BJ, Staley KJ. Progressive NKCC1-dependent neuronal chloride accumulation during neonatal seizures. *J Neurosci* 2010;30:11745–11761.
48. Hasbargen T, Ahmed MM, Miranpuri G, Li L, Kahle KT, Resnick D, Sun D. Role of NKCC1 and KCC2 in the development of chronic neuropathic pain following spinal cord injury. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2010;1198:168–172.
49. Boulenguez P, Liabeuf S, Bos R, Bras H, Jean-Xavier C, Brocard C, Stil A, Darbon P, Cattaert D, Delpire E, Marsala M, Vinay L. Down-regulation of the potassium-chloride cotransporter KCC2 contributes to spasticity after spinal cord injury. *Nat Med* 2010;16:302–307.
50. Lam TI, Anderson SE, Glaser N, O'Donnell ME. Bumetanide reduces cerebral edema formation in rats with diabetic ketoacidosis. *FASEB J* 2003;17:A76.
51. O'Donnell ME, Tran L, Lam TI, Liu XB, Anderson SE. Bumetanide inhibition of the blood-brain barrier Na-K-Cl cotransporter reduces edema formation in the rat middle cerebral artery occlusion model of stroke. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 2004 Sep;24(9):1046–56.
52. Garreau B, Herry D, Zilbovicius M, Samson Y, Guerin P, Lelord G. Theoretical aspects of the study of benzodiazepine receptors in infantile autism. *Acta Paedopsychiatr* 1993;56:133–138.

American Epilepsy Society

Epilepsy Currents Journal

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in four parts.

1. Identifying information.

Enter your full name. If you are NOT the main contributing author, please check the box "no" and enter the name of the main contributing author in the space that appears. Provide the requested manuscript information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party – that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes". Then complete the appropriate boxes to indicate the type of support and whether the payment went to you, or to your institution, or both.

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (DGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Other relationships

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

American Epilepsy Society

Epilepsy Currents Journal

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

Section #1 Identifying Information

1. Today's Date: 12/8/2011

2. First Name yehezkel Last Name ben-ari Degree PhD

3. Are you the Main Assigned Author? ☒ Yes ☐ No

   If no, enter your name as co-author:

4. Manuscript/Article Title:

5. Journal Issue you are submitting for:

Section #2 The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc.)?

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship just add rows to this table.

Type	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**
1. Grant	☒				
2. Consulting fee or honorarium	☒				
3. Support for travel to meetings for the study or other purposes	☒				
4. Fees for participating in review activities such as data monitoring boards, statistical analysis, end point committees, and the like	☒				
5. Payment for writing or reviewing the manuscript	☒				
6. Provision of writing assistance, medicines, equipment, or administrative support.	☒				
7. Other	☒				

* This means money that your institution received for your efforts on this study.
** Use this section to provide any needed explanation.

Section #3 Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.
Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to submission.

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship just add rows to this table.

Type of relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**
1. Board membership	☒				
2. Consultancy	☒				
3. Employment	☒				
4. Expert testimony	☒				
5. Grants/grants pending	☒				
6. Payment for lectures including service on speakers bureaus	☒				
7. Payment for manuscript preparation.	☒				
8. Patents (planned, pending or issued)	☒				
9. Royalties	☒				
10. Payment for development of educational presentations	☒				
11. Stock/stock options	☒				
12. Travel/accommodations/meeting expenses unrelated to activities listed.**	☒				
13. Other (err on the side of full disclosure)	☒				

* This means money that your institution received for your efforts.
** For example, if you report a consultancy above there is no need to report travel related to that consultancy on this line.

Section #4 Other relationships
Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

☒ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest.
☐ Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present:

Thank you for your assistance.
Epilepsy Currents Editorial Board